

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE		FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/615,578	07/08/2003	Mark E. Armstrong	03-040	8994
24124 7.	590 08/25/2004	EXAMINER		
BOHAN, MA	THERS & ASSOCIATE	GIBSON, ROBERT W		
PO BOX 17707 PORTLAND, ME 04112-8707			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3634	
			DATE MAILED: 08/25/200	4

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	·	Application	n No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary		10/615,57		ARMSTRONG, MARK E.				
		Examiner		Art Unit				
	•	Robert W.	Gibson Jr	3634				
	- The MAILING DATE of this communication				dress			
Period fo	· ·							
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).								
Status								
1)	Responsive to communication(s) filed on							
·	This action is FINAL . 2b) This action is non-final.							
3)□	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is							
	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Disposition of Claims								
4)⊠	Claim(s) 1-14 is/are pending in the application	ation.						
•	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
5)	Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
•)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-14</u> is/are rejected.							
	Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
8)[Claim(s) are subject to restriction a	and/or election re	equirement.					
Applicati	on Papers							
9)[] .	The specification is objected to by the Exa	aminer.						
10)🛛	10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>08 July 2003</u> is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)□ objected to by the Examiner.							
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).							
11) 📙	The oath or declaration is objected to by the	he Examiner. No	te the attached Office	Action or form PT	TO-152.			
Priority u	nder 35 U.S.C. § 119							
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).								
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:								
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.								
	2. Certified copies of the priority docu							
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the			ed in this National	Stage			
* 0	application from the International B			ad.				
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.								
Attachment	k(s)							
1) Notice	e of References Cited (PTO-892)		4) Interview Summary					
	e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-94 nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/5		Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P		O-152)			
	r No(s)/Mail Date 7/8/03.		6) Other:	,				

Art Unit: 3634

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 2. Claims 1, 2, 4, 8, 9, 10, 11, 12, 14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being clearly anticipated by Carter 3,314,634.

Regarding claim 4, see Figure 6 of Carter. Regarding claim 10, the "wall" is not being claimed, and whether or not it is fabric is of no patentable weight.

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. Claim 3 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Carter '634 in view of Sinkiewicz '004.

Art Unit: 3634

It would have been obvious that the magnet and the magnetically attractive material of Carter could be reversed, especially in view of the teachings of Fig. 11 of Sinkiewicz.

5. Claims 5-7 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Carter '634.

It would have been obvious that each side of the storage panel of Carter could be equipped with a first magnetic component. The number of panels is a matter of choice. Note, that panel 34 is rotatable on base 32, at hinge 42.

6. Claim 13 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Carter in view of Pichler '686.

It would have been obvious that a more modern "self-inking" stamp could have been used by Carter, such as is shown in Pichler '686.

7. The patents to Carter '734, McPherson, Miller,
Bostick, Kushner, Erickson, Lookholder, Huffman, Knox, and
Radek are cited to show similar structures.

Art Unit: 3634

8. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Robert W. Gibson, Jr. whose telephone number is 703-308-2168. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 7:30 to 4:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Lanna Mai can be reached on 703-308-2486. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information

Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Robert W. Gibson, Jr. Primary Examiner

Art Unit 3634